

DRAFT Meeting Minutes Friday, 12 May 2023
TAP Framework Implementation Review Committee (FIRC)
Co-chairs Kauther Badr (SCSU) & Joseph Berenguel (ACC)

Members Present: Kauther Badr, Co-Chair (SCSU), Joseph Berenguel, Co-Chair (ACC), Sarah Selke (TRCC), Becky DeVito (CCC), Sharon Cox (CCSU), Jennifer “Jen” Wittke (TxCC), Frank Stellabotte (MxCC), Paul Morganti (COSC), Kaitlyn Hoffman (SCSU), Amy Royal (NVCC), Mike Pence (MCC), Matt Dunne (HCC), Brian Lynch (QVCC), Gail Anne Arroyo (MCC)

TAP Manager: Steve Marcelynas

Members Absent: Mark Lynch (GCC)

Open Seats: ECSU, WCSU, NWCC, NCC

Meeting Called to Order at 10:05am, with quorum

Call to Order (K Badr)

Approval of April 2023 minutes: Motion F.S., Second S.S., Minutes approved unanimously.

Updates on votes from campuses (in order of discussion):

SCSU, disseminated to various campus groups, all approved.

TRCC, overwhelming support for rubrics, presented to Campus Education Content Committee

ACC, Asnuntuck has endorsed the rubrics unanimously except for **Historical Knowledge**. We also included feedback for CLIL, which we opted to endorse.

CLIL – Feedback not accepted because the standard is not just info but technology literary. The conflation of trying to assess info lit and tech lit makes it impossible to assess.

HK – (not endorsed) Interpreting primary sources is entirely too high a bar for a single history course. Primary and secondary sources are consistently identified appropriately and distinguished from each other, and are *interpreted with precise, detailed language that clarifies the content, context and potential limitations of the source*.

CCSU, all approved except for quantitative reasoning. They are concerned that arithmetic can satisfy SLO.

TxCC, endorsed without feedback

MxCC, endorsed by curriculum committee, will present to academic assembly next week.

CCC, Passed by unanimous vote of all present during Capital CC’s Assessment Team meeting on April 17, 2023, all present during Capital CC’s C-DAC meeting on April 25,

2023, and all present during the Social & Behavioral Sciences Department meeting on May 4, 2023.) Will be brought up for a vote at CAP (the curriculum committee) and Senate later in the month.

HCC, Passed without feedback to General Education and Curriculum Committees. Final campus wide vote will be held on Tuesday.

QVCC, Voted to not affirm rubrics, 16 no, 1 yes, 1 abs QVCC did not reject the rubrics (this was not one of the voting choices officially given to college bodies) but it voted to not affirm the rubrics. Understanding that each rubric that is not affirmed was to be explained, the following was a general statement overall, and for each individual rubric separately. The vote was 16 "No," 1 "Yes" and 7 abstentions. Reason for not affirming the rubrics (all and individually):

"The rubrics are still in need of significant review before they can be used in practical, consistent application. The points in need of review may significantly change the rubrics and it is premature to approve them as they currently stand."

COSC, will be voting next week.

TAP Manager's Report

S. Marcelynas reported on a recent meeting held by the Transfer Council, CSCU Transfer Initiatives, and the identification of CT State Transfer Ticket Work Group Leads. He also presented on the differences between course substitutions and using a crosswalk to assist students who are moving from a legacy to a CT State program. A legitimate substitution involves using a course that was not intended for the degree. A crosswalk can be used to address gaps in how courses are being brought over to the aligned degrees. Members discussed how crosswalks were generated and what purpose they serve.

Co-chairs Report

Co-Chair elections

K Badr was nominated as CSU co-chair by S. Cox, 2nd P. Morganti, accepted and approved unanimously.

B. DeVito was nominated as Community College co-chair by J. Berenguel, 2nd S.Selke, accepted and approved unanimously.

Discussion of two versions of FIRC recommendation and a vote to decide what proposal is advanced to System Provost

K. Badr, J. Berenguel, and B. DeVito wrote a recommendation (A) and S. Selke wrote a second recommendation (B). Each proposal was presented by its authors or authors. Members of the committee and the TAP manager made comments. Members discussed the relationship between CT State Curriculum Congress and the General Education Committee that we anticipate will be developed within it, should the General Education subcommittee be composed of members outside of the Curriculum Congress as colleges may not have been aware that the CT State Curriculum Congress would

address general education courses. Differences between the two proposals were discussed. Members discussed how assessment is handled by each proposal.

Vote results: The majority vote (7) was in favor of S. Selke's proposal (B).

Respectfully submitted, Frank Stellabotte